

AD A088058

ESTIMATION OF THE P(CS) WITH
A COMPUTER PROGRAM

by

Edward J. Dudewicz*

ESTIMATION OF THE $P(CS)$ WITH
A COMPUTER PROGRAM

by

Edward J. Dudewicz*

DTIC
SELECTED
AUG 19 1980
C

Technical Report No. 195a
Department of Statistics
The Ohio State University
Columbus, Ohio 43210
December 1979 (Revised July 1980)

* This author's research was supported by Office of Naval Research Contract No. N00014-78-C-0543.

This document has been approved
for public release and sale; its
distribution is unlimited.

DISCLAIMER NOTICE

**THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY
PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED
TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT
NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT
REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.**

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 177R-195a	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A088058	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) ESTIMATION OF THE P(CS) WITH A COMPUTER PROGRAM	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical Report	
6. AUTHOR(s) Edward J. Dineen	7. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
8. PERFORMING ORG. NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Statistics The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio 43210	9. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N00014-78-C-0543	
10. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Department of the Navy Arlington, Virginia 22217	11. REPORT DATE July 1980	
12. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)	13. NUMBER OF PAGES ii + 12	
	14. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified	
15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approval for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Key Words and Phrases: Ranking and selection; estimation of P(CS); probability of correct selection; inequalities; Monte Carlo; sequential; adaptive; heteroscedastic.		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) In the area of ranking and selection, recent papers have presented procedures which attempt to allow experimenters to take at least partial advantage of more-favorable configurations of the population parameters (compared to least-favorable configuration). The current paper presents a computer program which allows experimenters to dispense with all of inequalities, an indifference-zone, and known equal variabilities in their assessment of the probability of correct selection. It has additional uses in implementation of recently-proposed sequential adaptive selection procedures.		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

Unclassified
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

ESTIMATION OF THE $P(CS)$ WITH A COMPUTER PROGRAM*

by

Edward J. Dudewicz

ABSTRACT

In the area of ranking and selection, recent papers have presented procedures which attempt to allow experimenters to take at least partial advantage of more-favorable configurations of the population parameters (compared to the least-favorable configuration). The current paper presents a computer program which allows experimenters to dispense with all of inequalities, an indifference-zone, and known equal variabilities in their assessment of the probability of correct selection. It has additional uses in implementation of recently-proposed sequential adaptive selection procedures.

Accession For	NTIS SERIAL
DDC TAB	Unannounced
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Avail and/or special	82
Dist	A

- * This research was planned and initiated while Edward J. Dudewicz was a Visiting Scholar, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Nebraska, Lincoln. Edward J. Dudewicz is Professor, Department of Statistics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210, U.S.A.

This research was supported by Office of Naval Research Contract No. N00014-78-C-0543.

ESTIMATION OF THE $P(CS)$ WITH A COMPUTER PROGRAM

by

Edward J. Dudewicz

1. INTRODUCTION

When an experimenter uses the indifference-zone ranking-and-selection procedures pioneered by Bechhofer (1954) and recently explicated by Gibbons, Olkin, and Sobel (1977), he sets his sample size(s) to guarantee a $P(CS)$ (probability of correct selection) at least P^* whenever a minimal separation (of the best population from the other populations) of at least δ^* exists; a separation of δ^* is then least-favorable. The actual separation is likely to be more favorable than least-favorable (and the $P(CS)$ thus $> P^*$), and experimenters would like to take advantage of this favorableness after the experiment has been run. Previous approaches to this problem by Olkin, Sobel, and Tong (1976) and Anderson, Bishop, and Dudewicz (1977) all involved inequalities. In this paper we give a computer program which allows assessment of the $P(CS)$ without use of inequalities, is simple and easily implemented on even small computers, and does not use an indifference-zone. It is also directly useful when (as is often the case) the samples are drawn before the statistician is consulted, and he must evaluate their adequacy. It has additional uses in implementation of recently proposed sequential adaptive selection procedures of Tong (1978).

While our approach is oriented towards the practitioner and computer software provider in this paper, it should be noted that the area is also one of recent intensive theoretical investigation by Olkin, Sobel, and Tong (1979), Bofinger (1980), and Faltin (1980 a, b).

Note that Olkin, Sobel, and Tong (1979) consider only the case of σ^2 known. Work of Bofinger (1980) contradicts their result on asymptotic normality of their P(CS) estimator. Faltin (1980a) shows this estimator is biased on the high side when $k = 2$, while Faltin (1980b) gives an alternate quantile unbiased estimator (also for the case $k = 2$). By contrast, our estimator allows for unknown and heteroscedastic variances and does not require either bounds or tables: the experimenter makes one inexpensive run of a simple computer program (presented in full detail with numerical examples below).

2. THE P(CS) FUNCTION

If the experimenter has obtained a random sample of size n_i from population π_i which yields normally distributed observations with unknown mean μ_i and variance σ_i^2 , $1 \leq i \leq k$, and wishes to evaluate the adequacy of these sample sizes when the means procedure ("select the population yielding the largest sample mean as having the largest population mean") is used (and all $n_1 + \dots + n_k$ observations are independent), he will need to assess the function

$$P(CS) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \Phi \left(\frac{\sigma_{(k)}}{\sigma_{(i)}} \sqrt{\frac{n_{(1)}}{n_{(k)}}} z + \frac{\mu_{[k]} - \mu_{[1]}}{\sigma_{(1)}/\sqrt{n_{(1)}}} \right) \right\} \phi(z) dz$$

where: $\mu_{[1]} \leq \dots \leq \mu_{[k]}$ denote μ_1, \dots, μ_k in numerical order; $\sigma_{(1)}, n_{(1)}$ are the standard deviation and sample size of the population with true mean $\mu_{[1]}$; Φ, ϕ are the standard normal distribution function and density function.

Note that, with error ϵ ($0 < \epsilon < .005$ since $\Phi(2.81) = .9975$),

$$P(CS) = \int_{-2.81}^{2.81} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \Phi \left(\frac{\sigma_{(k)}}{\sigma_{(i)}} \sqrt{\frac{n_{(1)}}{n_{(k)}}} z + \frac{\mu_{[k]} - \mu_{[1]}}{\sigma_{(1)}/\sqrt{n_{(1)}}} \right) \right\} \phi(z) dz.$$

Once the $\mu_{[i]}, \sigma_{(i)}$ are estimated from the samples, this can be estimated simply by Monte Carlo methods on even small computers, with ease compared to quadrature methods, and with accuracy compared to methods involving inequalities. A computer program is given in Section 3, with an example in Section 4.

3. COMPUTER PROGRAM

The following computer program reads K (the number of populations) and $XM(I)$, $S(I)$, $N(I)$ (the sample mean, sample standard deviation, and sample size of population I), $1 \leq I \leq K$, from data cards and then uses 40,000 Monte Carlo trials to estimate $P(CS)$ with a standard error not exceeding $.005/2$, so that (with 95% confidence, also taking account of the truncation error ϵ) we estimate the $P(CS)$ integral within .01. A numerical example is given in section 4.

C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
C

THE FOLLOWING COMPUTER PROGRAM:

1. READS K (THE NUMBER OF POPULATIONS) FROM A DATA CARD;
2. READS XM(I),S(I),N(I) (THE SAMPLE MEAN, STANDARD DEVIATION AND SIZE OF POPULATION I), $1 \leq I \leq K$, FROM K DATA CARDS;
3. USES 40,000 MONTE CARLO TRIALS TO ESTIMATE THE P(CS) WITH A STANDARD ERROR NOT EXCEEDING $.005/2$, SO THAT (WITH 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE, ALSO TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE TRUNCATION ERROR) WE ESTIMATE THE P(CS) INTEGRAL WITHIN .01.

INTEGER R,SEED
DIMENSION XM(50),S(50),N(50),R(50)

C
C
C
C
C
C
C

PERSONS WITH K>50 SHOULD INCREASE ALL FOUR DIMENSIONS ABOVE TO THAT NUMBER BEFORE RUNNING THIS PROGRAM. THEY SHOULD ALSO INCREASE THE DIMENSIONS OF YM(.) AND S(.) IN SUB-ROUTINE VSORT.

READ(5,20) K
20 FORMAT(I10)
DO 30 I = 1,K
READ(5,21) XM(I),S(I),N(I)
21 FORMAT(F10.0,F10.0,I10)
30 CONTINUE

C
C
C
C

WE NOW FIND INTEGERS R(1),...,R(K) SUCH THAT
 $XM(R(1)) \leq XM(R(2)) \leq \dots \leq XM(R(K))$ BY A VIRTUAL SHELL SORT.

CALL VSORT(XM,R,K)

C

SEED=987021
I=0
JCNT=0

C
C
C

WE NOW ENTER THE MONTE CARLO LOOP.

31 CONTINUE
IF(1.GE.40000)GO TO 34
CALL URN20(SEED,RANX,1)
CALL URN20(SEED,RANY,1)
RANX=(RANX-0.5)*2.0*2.81
RANY=RANY*(1.0/SQRT(2.0*3.14159))

C

PROD=1.0
KK = K-1
DO 32 L=1,KK
TERM=XM(R(K))-XM(R(L))
TERM=TERM/S(R(L))
AN = FLOAT(N(R(L)))
TERM = TERM*SQRT(AN)
FACT=S(R(K))/S(R(L))
CN = FLOAT(N(R(L)))
DN = FLOAT(N(R(K)))
BN = CN/DN
FACT = FACT*SQRT(BN)
FACT=FACT*RANX+TERM
TERM=DCDFN(FACT)

```

PROD = PROD*TERM
32 CONTINUE
FN=PROD/SQRT(2.0*3.14159)
FN=FN*EXP(-0.5*RANX*RANX)
IF(FN.LE.RAN?)GO TO 33
JCNT=JCNT+1
33 CONTINUE
I=I+1
GO TO 31

```

C
C
C
C
C

WE HAVE DONE 40000 MONTE CARLO TRIALS, JCNT OF WHICH YIELDED
A VALUE OF THE INTEGRAND ABOVE RAN?. WE NOW CALCULATE AND
REPORT THE P(CS) ESTIMATE AND ITS STANDARD DEVIATION.

```

34 CONTINUE
PCSEST=(JCNT/40000.)*2.81*2.0*(1.0/SQRT(2.0*3.14159))
STDER=(JCNT/40000.)*1.0-(JCNT/40000.)
STDER=SQRT(STDER/200.)
STDER=STDER*2.81*2.0*(1.0/SQRT(2.0*3.14159))

```

C

```

WRITE(6,41)
41 FORMAT(1H1)
WRITE(6,42)
42 FORMAT(1H0,6X,34HTHE PROBABILITY OF CORRECT SELECTION IN A PROBLEM
1 WITD
WRITE(6,43) K
43 FORMAT(1H0,6X,5H K= ,12,12H POPULATIONS)
DO 35 I=1,K
WRITE(6,44) I,X(1),S(1),N(1)
44 FORMAT(1H0,6X,13H POPULATION ,12,10H HAS MEAN ,F10.4,
112H, STD. DEV. ,F10.4,19H, AND SAMPLE SIZE ,14)
35 CONTINUE
WRITE(6,45) PCSEST
45 FORMAT(1H0,6X,11HIS P(CS) = ,F6.4,34H BASED ON 40000 MONTE CARLO T
1RIALS)
WRITE(6,46) STDER
46 FORMAT(1H0,6X,25HAND HAS STANDARD ERROR = ,F6.4)
WRITE(6,47)
47 FORMAT(1H1)
END

```

```

0001      SUBROUTINE VSORT(XM,R,K)
0002      INTEGER R,S,SAV2
0003      DIMENSION XM(1),R(1),YM(50),S(50)
0004      DO 10 I=1,K
0005      YM(I)=XM(I)
0006      S(I)=1
0007      10 CONTINUE

C
0008      M=K
0009      1 M=M/2
0010      IF(M.EQ.0) GO TO 3
0011      J=1
0012      2 I=J
0013      4 IF(YM(I).LE.YM(I+M)) GO TO 3
0014      SAV1=YM(I)
0015      SAV2=S(I)
0016      YM(I)=YM(I+M)
0017      S(I)=S(I+M)
0018      YM(I+M)=SAV1
0019      S(I+M)=SAV2
0020      I=I-M
0021      IF(I.GE.1) GO TO 4
0022      3 J=J+1
0023      IF(J.GT.K-M) GO TO 1
0024      GO TO 2
0025      5 CONTINUE
0026      DO 11 I=1,K
0027      R(I) = S(I)
0028      11 CONTINUE
0029      RETURN
0030      END

```

```

C
C FUNCTION DCDNF(X)
C*****
C A SUBROUTINE TO CALCULATE PROBABILITIES FOR STANDARD NORMAL RANDOM
C VARIABLES.
C
C PURPOSE
C GENERATES THE PROBABILITY THAT Z IS LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO X,
C WHERE Z IS A STANDARD NORMAL RANDOM VARIABLE
C
C USAGE
C "LET P=DCDNF(X) "
C
C DESCRIPTION OF PARAMETERS
C X...IS THE CUT-OFF POINT FOR WHICH THE PROBABILITY THAT Z IS LESS
C THAN OR EQUAL TO X IS DESIRED
C
C REMARKS
C THIS IS A DOUBLE PRECISION FUNCTION AND CAN ACCOMMODATE A DOUBLE
C PRECISION ARGUMENT
C
C SUBROUTINES AND FUNCTION SUBPROGRAMS REQUIRED
C NONE
C
C METHOD
C FOR THE ALGORITHM USED AND ITS ACCURACY, SEE REFERENCE 2. FOR
C SPEED AND COMPARISONS WITH OTHER ALGORITHMS (WITH REGARD TO SPEED
C AND ACCURACY), SEE REFERENCE 1.
C 1. DUDENICZ, W. J. AND HALLLEY, T.: "TEST-RAND-RANDOM NUMBER GENERATION
C AND TESTING PACKAGE (INCLUDING IRCCAND)," TECHNICAL REPORT,
C DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS, THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS,
C OHIO, 1979.
C 2. HULTON, R. C. AND WYCHKISS, R.: "COMPUTER EVALUATION OF THE
C NORMAL AND INVERSE NORMAL DISTRIBUTION FUNCTIONS,"
C TECHNOMETRICS, VOL. 11(1969), PP. 817-822.
C*****
C
C DOUBLE PRECISION FUNCTION DCDNF(X)
C DIMENSION A(6),D(6),C(6,19)
C REAL*8 A,C,D,X,Y,Z,SGNY
C DATA A/.625D9,1.25D9,2.5D9,2.45D9,3.5D9,4.62D9/
C DATA D/.3D9,.925D9,1.625D9,2.225D9,2.65D9,4.15D9/
C DATA C/6.7982493291D-04,-1.2769753593D-03,6.7964325797D-04,
C *8.3509314297D-05,1.4691554152D-07,3.4379073878D-07,
C *5.1029560859D-03,-1.4832549744D-03,-3.4539193723D-04,
C *5.632607091D-04,-6.1965913125D-03,-8.6656125387D-07,
C *6.019352379D-03,8.7718995694D-03,-3.0833401043D-03,
C *-4.504531132D-04,3.3265719341D-04,6.6377762411D-07,
C *-3.2735611375D-02,-2.0233115601D-03,7.2141032197D-03,
C *-1.3672369725D-03,-4.4394718936D-04,-6.498453799D-07,
C *3.355672127D-02,-3.4631674468D-02,-1.067793916D-03,
C *3.5421165916D-03,6.2973759843D-04,6.2471792473D-07,
C *7.2703311815D-02,4.7642199207D-02,-2.4859730369D-02,
C *-1.022112913D-02,-6.6332330832D-04,-4.216390694D-07,
C *-1.459239535D-01,5.6839273056D-02,5.7429315819D-02,
C

```

	*1.1050195831D-02,5.1265578121D-04,2.0742133302D-07,	00011610
	*-1.5466912979D-01,-2.2180615138D-01,-6.5383705170D-02,	00011620
	*-8.8364030978D-03,-2.7637162532D-04,-7.625040218D-03,	00011630
	*5.1563045460D-01,2.3979043973D-01,4.0236129470D-02,	00011640
	*3.9930085701D-03,9.3751413287D-05,1.8722020021D-03,	00011650
	*1.6421087971D-01,4.0453335515D-01,4.8922185590D-01,	00011660
	*4.9917416708D-01,4.9998489089D-01,4.9999999770D-01,	00011670
	Y=K26.70710078119D0	00011680
	PCNY=1.D0	00011690
	IF(CD)2,1,3	00011700
1	PCDDE=.5D0	00011710
	RETURN	00011720
2	PCNY=-1.D0	00011730
	Y=-Y	00011740
3	DO 4 I=1,6	00011750
	IF(Y-A(I))5,3,4	00011760
4	CONTINUE	00011770
	Z=.5D0	00011780
	GO TO 7	00011790
5	Y=Y-D(I)	00011800
	Z=C(I,D)	00011810
	DO 6 J=2,10	00011820
6	Z=Z*Y+C(I,J)	00011830
7	PCDDE=.5D0+PCNY*Z	00011840
	RETURN	00011850
	END	00011860

Best Available Copy

THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE
FROM COPY FURNISHED TO BDC

URN20	CSECT			00000010
	USING	URN20, 15		00000020
	STM	14, 12, 12(13)		00000030
	ST	13, SAVE+4		00000040
	LR	11, 13	COPY ADDR OF CALLING SAVE INTO REG 11	00000050
	LA	13, SAVE	ADDR OF SAVE IN REG13	00000060
	ST	13, 8(11)	STORE ADDR OF SAVE IN CALLING PROC SAVE	00000070
*				00000080
*	LM	2, 4, 0(1)	REG(2)=ADDR(IX) REG(3)=ADDR(X)	
			REG(4)=ADDR(NBATCH)	
	L	11, 0(4)	VALUE OF NBATCH IN REG11	
	L	10, =F'0'	INIT INDEX	00000110
	L	9, =X'40000000'	EXPONENT FOR FLOATING POINT CONVERSION	00000120
LOOP	L	7, 0(2)	VALUE OF IX IN REG7	00000130
	M	5, FLT	REC6=QUOT, REG7=REM MOD 2**32	00000140
	SLDL	6, 1	PUTS QUOT MOD 2**31 IN REG6	00000150
	SRL	7, 1	PUTS REM MOD 2**31 IN REG7	00000160
	AR	6, 7	REG6 = REM MOD 2**31-1=	00000170
*			REM MOD 2**31 + QUOT MOD 2**31	00000171
	C	5, =F'0'	CHECK FOR OVERFLOW	00000172
	BNL	UP1	BRANCH TO UP1 IF SUM IS NOT NEG	00000173
	SLL	6, 1	DIVIDE REG6 BY 2**31	00000174
	SRL	6, 1	REM MOD 2**31 IN REG6	00000175
	A	6, =F'1'	ADD QUOT FROM DIVISION TO REM TO	00000176
			OBTAIN REM MOD 2**31-1 IN REG6	00000177
UP1	ST	6, 0(2)	STORE VALUE OF IX FOR NEXT RND NOS	00000178
	LPR	6, 6	ABSOL VALUE OF IX IN REG6	00000179
	L	5, =X'4E000000'	EXPONENT IN REG5 (16**14)	00000180
	STM	3, 6, DOUBLE	STORE FLT PT NOS IN REGS 3 & 6	00000181
	LD	2, DOUBLE	LOAD FLT PT REG2 WITH FLT PT NOS	00000182
	AD	2, =D'0.0'	NORMALIZE BY ADDING 0.	00000183
	DD	2, MODUL	DIVIDE BY 2**31-1	00000184
	STD	2, 0(10, 3)	STORE NORMALIZED FLT PT NOS IN X INDEX	00000185
	LA	10, 4(10)	INCREMENT INDEX	00000186
	BCT	11, LOOP	BOTTOM OF LOOP	00000187
	L	13, SAVE+4	RESTORE REGISTERS	00000188
	LM	14, 12, 12(13)		00000189
	BCR	15, 14	RETURN TO CALLING PROGRAM	00000190
MLT	DC	F'2027812863'	MULTIPLIER FOR URN20	00000191
DOUBLE	D			00000192
MODUL	DC	X'4B7FFFFFFF000000'		00000193
SAVE	DS	18F		00000194
	END			00000195
		=D'0.0'		
		=F'0'		
		=X'40000000'		
		=F'1'		
		=X'4E000000'		

Best Available Copy

4. EXAMPLE AND COMPARISON

As an example, when we have $k = 8$ populations with means all 0.0 except for one which is 2.34, with standard deviations all 9.0, and sample sizes all 81, we know (from the tables of Bechhofer (1954)) that the true $P(CS) = .80$. Our computer program yields the output

THE PROBABILITY OF CORRECT SELECTION IN A PROBLEM WITH

K= 8 POPULATIONS

POPULATION 1 HAS MEAN	2.3400, STD. DEV.	9.0000, AND SAMPLE SIZE	81
POPULATION 2 HAS MEAN	0.0 , STD. DEV.	9.0000, AND SAMPLE SIZE	81
POPULATION 3 HAS MEAN	0.0 , STD. DEV.	9.0000, AND SAMPLE SIZE	81
POPULATION 4 HAS MEAN	0.0 , STD. DEV.	9.0000, AND SAMPLE SIZE	81
POPULATION 5 HAS MEAN	0.0 , STD. DEV.	9.0000, AND SAMPLE SIZE	81
POPULATION 6 HAS MEAN	0.0 , STD. DEV.	9.0000, AND SAMPLE SIZE	81
POPULATION 7 HAS MEAN	0.0 , STD. DEV.	9.0000, AND SAMPLE SIZE	81
POPULATION 8 HAS MEAN	0.0 , STD. DEV.	9.0000, AND SAMPLE SIZE	81

IS $P(CS) = 0.8000$ BASED ON 40000 MONTE CARLO TRIALS

AND HAS STANDARD ERROR = 0.0034

which agrees with the theoretical value, thus furnishing a check on the computer program.

REFERENCES

- Anderson, P. O., Bishop, T. A., and Dudewicz, E. J. (1977). Indifference-zone ranking and selection: confidence intervals for true achieved $P(CD)$. Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods, Vol. A6, pp. 1121-1132.
- Bechhofer, R. E. (1954). A single-sample multiple decision procedure for ranking means of normal populations with known variances. Annals of Mathematical Statistics, Vol. 25, pp. 16-39.
- Bofinger, E. (1980). On the non-existence of consistent estimators for $P\{C.S.\}$. Unpublished manuscript.
- Faltin, F. W. (1980a). Performance of the Sobel-Tong estimator of the probability of correct selection achieved by Bechhofer's single-stage procedure for the normal means problem. Abstract, IMS Bulletin, Vol. 9, p. 180.
- Faltin, F. W. (1980b). A quantile unbiased estimator of the probability of correct selection achieved by Bechhofer's single-stage procedure for the two population normal means problem. Abstract, IMS Bulletin, Vol. 9, pp. 180-181.
- Gibbons, J. D., Olkin, I., and Sobel, M. (1977). Selecting and Ordering Populations: A New Statistical Methodology. New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
- Olkin, I., Sobel, M., and Tong, Y. L. (1976). Estimating the true probability of correct selection for location and scale parameter families. Technical Report. No. 110, Department of Statistics, Stanford University, Stanford, California.
- Olkin, I., Sobel, M., and Tong, Y. L. (1979). Bounds for a k-fold integral for location and scale parameter models with applications to statistical ranking and selection problems. Technical Report No. 141, Department of Statistics, Stanford University, Stanford, California.
- Tong, Y. L. (1978). An adaptive solution to ranking and selection problems. The Annals of Statistics, Vol. 6, pp. 658-672.